UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

	SONY M	MUSIC	ENTERTAI	NMENT.	et al
--	--------	--------------	----------	--------	-------

Plaintiffs,

v.

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and COXCOM, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00950-LO-JFA

COX'S REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 7 TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFFS FROM RELYING ON COPYRIGHT NOTICES AS PROOF OF DIRECT INFRINGEMENT Plaintiffs agree with Cox that notices of copyright infringement are merely allegations of

infringement and are insufficient, without more, to make a *prima facie* case of direct infringement.

See ECF No. 539, Opp. at 30. Plaintiffs' only basis for opposing Cox's motion in limine to

preclude them from relying on these notices as proof of direct infringement or referring to them in

any way that implies that they prove that Cox's subscribers infringed the works in suit is to argue

that this would be more appropriate as a jury instruction—to which they might consent. Opp. at

30 ("The Court has separate processes for motions in limine and jury instructions. It may be that

Plaintiffs consent to a similar instruction, but Plaintiffs believe jury instructions are best addressed

as a whole.").

Given that the parties agree here as to the impropriety of referring to notices as proof of

direct infringement, there is no reason why Plaintiffs or their witnesses should argue or testify to

the contrary, and, hence, no reason not to grant Cox's motion. Plaintiffs have provided no reason

why dealing with this issue now would be "inefficient and potentially problematic." Opp. at 30.

To the contrary, what would be "inefficient and problematic" would be a trial in which Plaintiffs

and their witnesses were allowed to treat the notices as evidence of infringement and the Court is

left to un-ring this bell with a jury instruction. Cox's motion in limine should be granted.

Dated: November 6, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas M. Buchanan

Thomas M. Buchanan (VSB No. 21530)

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

1700 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-3817

Tel: (202) 282-5787

Fax: (202) 282-5100

Email: tbuchana@winston.com

Attorney for Cox Communications, Inc.

and CoxCom, LLC

1

Of Counsel for Defendants

Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice*) Thomas Patrick Lane (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193

Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 Email: melkin@winston.com Email: tlane@winston.com

Jennifer A. Golinveaux (*pro hac vice*) Thomas J. Kearney (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 California Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5840 Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400

Email: jgolinveaux@winston.com Email: tkearney@winston.com

Michael L. Brody (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 W. Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60601

Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700 Email: mbrody@winston.com

Diana Hughes Leiden (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750 Email: dhleiden@winston.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 6, 2019, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court using the ECF system, which will send notifications to ECF participants.

/s/ Thomas M. Buchanan (VSB No. 21530)

1700 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3817

Tel: (202) 282-5787 Fax: (202) 282-5100

Email: tbuchana@winston.com

Attorney for Cox Communications, Inc. and CoxCom, LLC